Influence of Altered Knee Angle on Electromyographic Activity of Hamstring Muscles Between Nordic Hamstring Exercise and Nordic Hamstring Exercise with Incline Slope Lower Leg Board
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Background
Previous studies have shown that performing the Nordic hamstring exercise (NHE) with different board slope angles can affect hamstring activation. However, changes in muscle length with different board slopes can alter joint angles leading to the moment arm (MA) at the knee changing during the NHE.

Purpose
This study aimed to investigate the influence of changing muscle length on hamstring electromyographic activity during an isometric NHE, while maintaining an equal moment arm.

Study Design
A crossover study design

Methods
Sixteen male volunteers performed two types of conventional NHE, one with knees on the floor (NHE) and one with the legs placed upon an incline slope of a lower leg board (NHEB). To compare between the conventional and inclined NHE, the moment arm at the knee was calculated to be equal by an examiner holding the lower legs at points marked at 77% and 94% of the length of the lower leg. The four sub-groups comprised of: 1) NHE-77%, 2) NHE-94%, 3) NHEB-77%, and 4) NHEB-94%. The hamstring EMG activity was measured at the biceps femoris long head (BF\textsubscript{lh}) and at the semitendinosus (ST) and related compensatory muscles. The RMS data were normalized as a percentage of the maximum isometric values (normalized EMG [nEMG]). Significant main effects findings were followed up with Tukey’s post-hoc test using SPSS software and statistical significance was set at the p < 0.05 level.

Results
The BF\textsubscript{lh} EMG activity values for NHE-77% were significantly higher than those for NHE-94% (p= 0.036) and NHEB-77% (p < 0.001), respectively, while ST during NHE-77% was significantly higher only in NHEB-77% (p < 0.001). In addition, NHEB-94% was significantly greater than NHEB-77% for both BF\textsubscript{lh} (p < 0.001) and ST (p < 0.001).

Conclusion
These results indicate that hamstring electromyographic activity is decreased when the hamstring muscle is lengthened during the Nordic hamstring exercise.
INTRODUCTION

Hamstring injury is a common muscle injury that affects many athletes associated with various sports.\(^1\)–\(^3\) It has been acknowledged that the Nordic hamstring exercise (NHE) may prevent injuries to the hamstring, potentially decreasing 51% of all hamstring injuries for athletes competing at different levels and across multiple sports.\(^4\) Van Dyk et al\(^4\) support the use of the NHE in the prevention of hamstring injury programs, however, NHE may only reduce the number of hamstring injuries when executed accurately. Several authors have reported that a potential disadvantage of the NHE is that it is difficult to perform this exercise using the optimal form required for achieving the complete benefit.\(^5\)–\(^7\) Athletes who are not strong enough to descend to a fully extended knee position may not be able to take the full advantage of this exercise. Therefore, the conventional NHE may not be optimal for preventing strain to the hamstrings in athletes of all levels.

Researchers have modified the NHE to eliminate its drawbacks.\(^8\)–\(^11\) The results of a previous study showed that adjusting the slope of the lower leg support at 20° and 40° allowed the participants to perform the movement through greater amplitude in a controlled manner; peak joint torques being attained at longer hamstring lengths.\(^8\) Additionally, the peak joint torque occurred closer to full knee extension compared to the standard NHE.\(^8\) The movement close to full knee extension during NHE is similar to the movement occurring in hamstring injuries in the final part of the swing phase during sprinting.\(^12\) Since changes in the adjustable incline board or variations in the exercise angle can affect exercise performance and specific muscle activation,\(^9\) there is significant interest in examining muscle activation during the variations of the NHE.

There is controversy regarding whether the contractions during the final phase of the NHE are isometric or eccentric type of contractions. Although it was believed that the NHE produces an eccentric muscle action in all ranges of motion, one study\(^13\) demonstrated that some athletes lower to the extent where they briefly perform pause in the drop-down position. This movement may result in a change from an eccentric contraction to an isometric contraction.\(^13\) It is possible that the hamstrings act predominantly isometrically in the swing phase of kicking, thus incorporating isometric exercises may be more appropriate (than eccentric exercises) because the former strengthens the hamstrings in a specific manner. In addition, the application of the adjustable incline board allows both biceps femoris long head (BFih) and semitendinosus (ST) muscles to be recruited during the NHE at shallow knee flexion angles, whereas the ST muscle works more during the conventional NHE than the BFih.\(^11\)

Performing NHE at the point closest to full knee extension is similar to the movement when hamstring injuries occur in the final part of the swing phase during sprinting.\(^12\) Investigating the relationship between muscle length and electromyographic activity of the muscles may be important for preventing hamstring strain injury. However, examining the effect of changes in muscle length during electromyography (EMG), which alters joint angles during motion leading to the moment arm (MA) changing continually, may be a confounding problem. To overcome this obstacle, it is necessary to compare the differences in hamstring length variations with the same MA at the knee, which is the dominant joint of the NHE. The moment arm of a force being defined as the minimum distance between its line of action and the axis of rotation.\(^14\)

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the influence of changing muscle length on hamstring electromyographic activity during isometric contraction of the NHE, while maintaining an equal moment arm. Moreover, isometric training exercise is widely used in the rehabilitation and physical preparation of athletes because of its safety and convenience. Hence, the secondary purpose of this study was to examine the effect of muscle length on isometric contraction during the NHE.

METHODS

SUBJECTS

Sample size was determined using G*Power 3.1.9.2 software (Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany) and 16 male volunteers were recruited to participate in this study. One participant had experienced a previous hamstring strain injury more than two years prior, while none of the others had any relevant past history of hamstring strain injury. The NHE was not included in the participants regular resistance training program, but were familiar with this exercise. The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of Waseda University’s ethical committee and all procedures in this study were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects were informed of the purpose and procedure of this study, and informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

MEASURES

PROCEDURES

Electrodes were placed on the five target muscles, Biceps femoris (BFih), semitendinosus (ST), Erector spinae (ES), Gluteus maximus (GM), and Rectus abdominis (RA), using wireless EMG sensors (m-BioLog2 DL-5000, S&ME Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) before performing maximal voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) and the NHE protocol. The electrodes were placed on these muscles based on the following landmarks: midpoint between the ischial tuberosity and the lateral epicondyle of the tibia (BFih), midpoint of the line between the ischial tuberosity and the medial epicondyle of the tibia (ST), mid-point between the sacral vertebrae and the greater trochanter (GM), two fingers-width distance lateral from the spinous process of the L1 vertebra (ES), and two fingers-width distance lateral from the midline of the umbilicus (RA). Electrodes were placed parallel...
to the lines between these landmarks, as recommended by
the Surface Electromyography for the Non-invasive Assessment
of Muscles guidelines (SENIAM).\textsuperscript{15} To ensure accurate
electrode placement on each muscle, the examiner palpated the
muscle bellies and used ultrasonography imaging to
confirm the location of the muscles.

Prior to electrode placement, the skin overlaying the
muscles was shaved and cleaned with alcohol. After elec-
trode placement, the participants were asked to contract the
target muscles to test the muscles for accurate EMGs.

The volunteers underwent MVICs during a leg curl in the
prone position with knee flexion of 50° and 90° for both
BF\textsubscript{HL} and ST. The GM, ES, and RA were measured using hip
extension, trunk extension in the prone position, and trunk
flexion in the supine position, respectively. These MVICs
were used in previous studies that investigated the EMG ac-
tivity of the hamstrings muscles.\textsuperscript{16,17} Each MVIC protocol
was for a period of five seconds and was performed twice
with the mean value used for data analysis.

The EMG electrodes were pre-amplified (10×) and linked
to the EMG mainframe, which further amplified it
(100×) to a total gain of 1,000 × and was band-pass filtered
(20-500 Hz). The root mean square (RMS) was redac-
ted from the raw EMG data (the middle section; middle two sec-
onds of the five second exercise) for further analysis. The
maximum EMG values during MVIC of each muscle were
used to normalize the EMG values during the NHE protocol.

After the MVIC protocol, the participants were prepared
for the exercise protocol. A marker was placed on each indi-
vidual’s hip, knee, and ankle joint to measure the length of
the lower leg from the knee to the ankle joint. To compare the
effect of changing muscle length at an equal moment
arm at the knee between NHE and NHEB, the examiner cal-
culated and marked the point at 77% and 94% of the length
of the lower leg. An example of these calculations is shown in
Figures 1-3. The participants were randomized to per-
form the experiment protocol consisting of four variations of
the NHE as follows: 1) NHE during isometric contrac-
tion at 100° of knee extension and the examiner providing
stabilization at the mark point at 77% of lower leg length
(NHE-77%), 2) NHE during isometric contraction at 100° of
knee extension and the examiner providing stabilization at
the mark point at 94% of lower leg length (NHE-94%), 3) NHE
on the adjustable incline board plane at 20° during iso-
metric contraction at 120° of knee extension (NHEB-77%),
4) NHE on the adjustable incline board plane at 50° during iso-
metric contraction at 150° of knee extension (NHEB-94%).

The participants were asked to hold the iso-
metric contraction for five seconds and performed two repeti-
tions of each MVIC test (total of eight repetitions). A man-
ual goniometer was used to monitor the knee joint angle
during the NHE protocol. If a participant could not hold the
targeted degree angle, the trial was discarded, and the par-
cipant was instructed to re-perform the trials.

STATISTICAL METHODS

The average value (±SD) for each variation of NHE was cal-
culated. The RMS data were normalized as a percentage of
the maximum isometric values (normalized EMG [nEMG]).
Two-way mixed repeated-measures analysis of variance
(ANOVA) was used to compare between within-factor (Type
of NHE; NHE and NHE on incline board) and moment arm
at knee (77% and 94%). In between factors (muscles; (be-
tween BF\textsubscript{HL} and ST)) were compared. The difference in
nEMG between GM, ES, and RA were analyzed using the
same procedure. Tukey’s post-hoc test was applied for the
identification of any significant findings. All statistics were
analyzed using SPSS for Windows (version 25.0; IBM Corp.,
Armonk, NY, USA) and statistical significance was accepted
at the p < 0.05 level.

RESULTS

A total of 16 male volunteers (age 24.25 ± 2.04 y, height
170.58 ± 5.46 m, weight 70.01 ± 10.12 kg, mean ± SD) were
recruited to participate in this study.

HAMSTRING MUSCLES (BF\textsubscript{HL} AND ST)

Differences in the nEMG between the four NHE variations
and moment arm at the knee in BF\textsubscript{HL} and ST are shown in
(\textbf{Figure 4}). The BF\textsubscript{HL} nEMG activity values for NHE-77%
were significantly higher than those for NHE-94% (p=0.036)
and NHEB-77% (p=0.001), while in ST a significantly higher
nEMG was only found in NHEB-77% (p=0.001). Moreover,
NHEB-94% was significantly greater than NHEB-77% for
both BF\textsubscript{HL} (p=0.001) and ST (p=0.001).

RELATED MUSCLES (GM, ES AND RA)

Differences in the NiEMG (%MVIC) between the four NHE
variations and moment at in GM, ES, and RA are shown in
\textbf{Figure 5}. In GM, ES, and RA, NHE-94% was significantly
greater than NHEB-94% and NHE-77% was significantly
higher than NHEB-77% (p<0.05). Moreover, NHEB-94% was
significantly greater than NHEB-77% (p<0.05).

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to examine the influence of muscle length
on the hamstring and related electromyographic activity by
modifying the knee angle during isometric NHE.

First, the present study found that the BF\textsubscript{HL} EMG activity
level was not statistically different from ST between
NHE-77% of moment arm at 100° of knee extension and
NHE on the adjustable incline board plane at 20° during iso-
motic contraction (NHEB-77%). And, NHE-94% of moment
arm at 100° of knee extension and NHE on the adjustable incline board plane at 50° at 150° of knee extension
(NHEB-94%) during isometric contraction. This current
study confirmed the results reported by Ditroilo et al.\textsuperscript{9}
as the BF\textsubscript{HL} activity during NHE at 100° of knee extension was
very high (NHE77%; nEMG 87.93%MVIC, NHE94%; nEMG
81.85%MVIC). However, this finding contradicts the results of
a previous study in which ST was activated to a greater
degree during NHE.\textsuperscript{11,18-20} High BF\textsubscript{HL} and ST activity have
been recorded during the conventional performance of the
NHE.\textsuperscript{7} This discrepancy in findings may be due to variations
in the normalization procedure of the hamstring EMG (e.g.,
maximal isometric voluntary contraction) to examine hamstring activation.\textsuperscript{21}

Second, BF\textsubscript{IH} and ST were recruited to a greater extent during NHE-77\% compared with NHEB-77\% at the same moment arm at the knee. However, the results of the current study did not find a significant difference during NHE-94\%. The results indicate that performing the NHE on the adjustable incline board plane allowed participants to perform NHE at a greater knee extension angle and stimulate muscle activation at higher extent. Soga et al. reported that increasing the lower leg slope angle (0°–40°) shifted the break point angle (the knee flexion angle at which subjects are no longer able to maintain the required tempo (10°/s) for descent) to the lower knee flexion angle.\textsuperscript{22} Furthermore, Hirose et al. demonstrated that BF\textsubscript{IH} and ST muscles did not statistically different in their activation during NHE at shallow knee flexion angles with the incline board plane, whereas the ST muscle was activated to a greater extent during conventional NHE than the BF\textsubscript{IH} muscle.\textsuperscript{11} A previous study showed that when the moment arm of the knee joint was set to be equivalent, the EMG activity of BF\textsubscript{IH} decreased while this muscle was lengthened during isomet-
Figure 3. Comparison of the same moment arm at the knee joint (77%) between the conventional isometric Nordic hamstring at 100° of knee extension and isometric Nordic hamstring on a 50° inclined leg support platform at 150° of knee extension.

Figure 4. Differences in the nEMG (%MVIC) between NHE variation and moment arm at the knee. NHE-94%, NHE-77%, NHEB-94%, NHEB-77%; BFlh=biceps femoris long head, ST=semitendinosus, MVIC= maximal voluntary isometric contractions. The symbol* indicates a statistically significant difference between conditions.

This previous study explained that when a muscle is lengthened, the passive muscle component produces the force during when hamstring muscle is in this stretched position, leading to fewer motor units being needed to work resulting in decreased EMG activity. Moreover, several authors have demonstrated an inverse relationship between hamstring EMG activity and hamstring length during the NHE, regardless of the intensity during isometric knee flexion and eccentric contraction. A recent study reported that muscle activity levels in the proximal and middle BFlh regions were higher at 30° and 60° of knee flexion rather than at 90° during maximal voluntary contraction of knee flexion. However, no differences in peak EMG activity during maximal voluntary knee flexion between various hamstring lengths was achieved by altering hip angles. This discrepancy in
findings may be related to differences in joint dominance. Chleboun et al., indicated that the moment arm of the hamstrings is greater at the hip joint than at the knee joint affecting hamstring activity. In this study, the hamstring selectively demonstrated greater activity in the shorter muscle length (100° of knee extension during isometric NHE).

The current study found that BFh and ST were preferably activated in both 77% and 94% at the knee during the NHE as compared to the NHEB. The results are consistent with those of Sarabon et al., who reported that the peak hamstring activity decreased while the peak knee and hip torques remained similar during modified NHE variations, with increased lower leg support slope than is seen in the standard NHE. Sarabon et al. explained that the non-contractile elements contributed to a larger proportion of the force, owing to the longer length of the hamstrings compared with the standard NHE. However, the present study observed that during NHE, BFh and ST were recruited at higher level during performing 77% than 94%. A possible explanation is that during 77% of the moment at the knee, the examiner held the lower leg at a point around the gastrocnemius muscle, leading to a compression force. Compression-induced reductions in muscle displacement also correspond to a reduction in muscle activation. Thus, implying that hamstring muscles work harder to compensate for the decrease in gastrocnemius activity.

Among the additional muscles that were studied, the ES was recruited at a high level of nEMG (%MVIC) during the NHE. A previous study showing that when the degree of knee extension angle increased, EMG activity of ES was greater than Gmax and rectus abdominis. This is because when the distance of ES is decreased from ground level, ES has to work to maintain the trunk in an erect position against gravitational force provides more hip extension torque than Gmax. Moreover, ES and GM were recruited to a greater extent in NHE-77% than in NHEB-77%. This result is consistent with that of Sarabon et al., who found that increasing the angle of slope was associated with decrease in ES and GM muscle activity.

This study had several limitations. First, the position of holding the leg was recorded with respect to the calculated moment arm, for comparing the same moment arm between conditions. However, the actual difference in lengths of holding positions between NHE of 77% and 94% were small. Future studies need to identify an effective way to compare the influence of different hamstring lengths at the same moment at the knee.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicate that isometric hamstring EMG activity decreased when the hamstring muscles were lengthened during NHE. The passive muscle component produces a force when the hamstring muscle is in a stretched position, and thus fewer motor units are required to work. According to the current results, NHE-77% may be better in terms of recruiting hamstring activity compared to NHEB-77% when the moment at the knee was equivalent.
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