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Background
Recent evidence has demonstrated that athletes are at greater risk for a lower extremity injury following a return-to-sport (RTS) after sport-related concussion (SRC). The reason for this is not completely clear, but it has been hypothesized that persistent deficits in neurocognitive factors may be a contributing factor.

Hypothesis/Purpose
This study assessed simple reaction time, processing speed, attention, and concentration in a group of athletes, post-concussion upon clearance for RTS for potential deficits that may result in slower reaction time, processing speed, attention, and concentration. The researchers hypothesized that the concussion group would demonstrate worse scores on both assessments compared to a sex-, age-, and sport-matched cohort.

Study Design
Case-controlled study

Methods
Twelve participants who had suffered a SRC and eight healthy individuals who were matched to the concussed group by age, sex, and sport were evaluated. Those with a concussion had been cleared for RTS by a licensed healthcare provider. Each participant underwent neurocognitive tests that included a simple reaction time test (SRT) and the King-Devick Test (K-D). Independent t-tests were performed to compare the groups with significance set a priori at p<0.05.

Results
There was a significant difference (p =0.024) between groups for SRT with the concussed group demonstrating a better SRT than the control group. There were no significant differences (p =0.939) between the groups for the K-D.

Conclusion
With no significant differences between groups in the K-D assessment and, surprisingly, the concussed group having a better SRT compared to the healthy group, our hypothesis was not supported.
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Clinical Relevance
These specific measures, compounded with extensive post-concussion time lapse until RTS clearance, may have limited capacity in revealing potential persistent deficits in relevant neurocognitive characteristics.

Level of Evidence
Level of Evidence 5

INTRODUCTION

Sport-related concussions (SRC) continue to be a rising and prominent global concern in contact and non-contact related sports, especially in youth. According to the 2017 Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), out of all the student athletes in the United States (U.S.), “15.1% of students (about 2.5 million) reported having at least one concussion related to sports or physical activity, and 6% reported having two or more (about 1 million students).” In a study across 20 high school sports during the 2013-2014 to 2017-2018 school years, 9,542 concussions were reported for an overall rate of 4.17 per 10,000 athlete exposures (AE) (95% CI: 4.09 to 4.26). In this sample, 64% occurred during competition and the rest during practice.

Recent evidence suggests that athletes who have suffered a concussion may be at a greater risk for a lower extremity (LE) injury following a return to sport (RTS). The association between increased instantaneous relative risk of LE injury in the 365 days after incurring a concussion in Division I college athletes has been demonstrated across 15 sports. In a military population, a greater risk of musculoskeletal (MSK) injury post-concussion was demonstrated in U.S. Army soldiers, which remained elevated for more than a year. This has been corroborated in a recent systematic review indicating that athletes who had a concussion had two times greater odds of sustaining a MSK injury than athletes without having incurred a concussion (OR, 2.11; 95% CI, 1.46 - 3.06). Whereas there may be observed differences in gait strategy, this study and others suggest that an underlying prominent contributing factor may be persistent deficits in neurocognitive factors.

Impaired neuromuscular control in these post-concussion scenarios may be evidenced through deficits in cognitive performance, including via measured reaction time, processing speed, and verbal and visual memory. As a diagnostic tool, the King Devick (K-D) test has been successfully utilized in detecting SRC. The K-D test may also be effective in identifying witnessed and unwitnessed episodes of SRC in youth sports, as demonstrated in junior rugby over continuous seasons. Additionally, because K-D test performance may be influenced by attention, concentration, reaction time, and processing speed, it may provide valid insight to these same overlapping domains that can inhibit an athlete’s neuromuscular control. A test of simple reaction time (SRT) has also been utilized in those who have suffered a concussion, with this straightforward metric of clinical reaction time ($RT_{clin}$) showing close alignment and validity to computerized measured reaction time ($RT_{comp}$) in athletes. Clinical reaction time ($RT_{clin}$) also reveals an athlete’s ability to protect one’s head in a lab-simulated athletic environment demonstrating functional relevance. Notably, prolonged reaction time is one of the most sensitive indicators of neurocognitive change following concussive injury, and thus this utility is incorporated in many concussion evaluation instruments. For example, simple reaction time (SRT) is a featured metric in the Automated Neuropsychological Assessment Metrics (ANAM) commonly used to evaluate concussions in the U.S. military. In military cadets recovering from a concussion, a prolonged increase in SRT did not return to baseline upon return to active duty. Further practical benefits of the K-D and $RT_{clin}$ include being able to administer these uncomplicated tests to a large group of athletes in a comparatively small amount of allotted time with minimal cost and no requirement for highly trained and certified testers. Accordingly, the convenient, practical, and valid K-D and $RT_{clin}$ tests were utilized for this study to measure reaction time and indirectly reveal potential deficits in attention, concentration, and processing speed as potential contributing factors that can hinder neuromuscular control in a post-concussion population, thus leading to higher risk of LE injury. These observed changes in neuromuscular control and MSK injury risk challenge physicians and other providers when attempting to screen post-concussion patients easily and effectively and identify when athletes are ready to return to sport.

The evident connection between SRCs and the subsequent impact on musculoskeletal injury risk underscores a practical clinical concern regarding athletic readiness and the decision to clear an athlete for RTS − competition and/or training. Therefore, the present study assessed simple reaction time, processing speed, attention, and concentration in a group of athletes, post-concussion upon clearance for RTS for potential deficits that may result in slower reaction time, processing speed, attention, and concentration. The purpose of this research was to examine the effects of SRC on neurocognitive and neuromuscular performance by utilizing the K-D test and a simple reaction time assessment after clearance for RTS. The researchers hypothesized that the concussion group would demonstrate measurable deficits on both assessments compared to a sex-, age-, and sport-matched healthy cohort. These findings should provide new perspective into the relationship between concussion and LE MSK injury and the utility of these two assessments during RTS decision-making which may help provide additional tools for clinicians who must assess clinical readiness for safe RTS. The practical utility of these readily accessible, easily assessable, and cost-effective neurocognitive and reaction time metrics could also be instrumental in screening and identifying athletes who are more likely to experience a LE MSK injury following a SRC.
METHODS

PARTICIPANTS

Twelve participants (7 boys, 5 girls; age: 16.1 ± 1.4 years; height: 172.3 ± 11.3 cm; weight: 65.7 ± 15.3 kg) who had suffered a sports-related concussion and eight healthy (no concussion history) individuals (4 boys, 4 girls; age: 16.5 ± 2.1 years; height: 172.2 ± 10.9 cm; weight: 67.9 ± 15.4 kg) were matched by age, sex, and sport. Participants were voluntarily recruited through the university's concussion clinic. Each participant had been cleared for RTS (60.9 ± 54.0 days following concussion) by a licensed healthcare provider. The evaluated subjects played a range of sports including soccer, basketball, volleyball, and football. Each subject under the age of 18 required a parent's or guardian's informed voluntary assent to enroll in the study. Subjects at or over the age of 18 signed a written informed voluntary consent form as well. The study protocol and consent forms utilized in this study were approved through Duke University Office of Research Support Institutional Review Board committee. Individuals who reported a history of surgery or any injury (concussions excluded for the concussion group) within the prior six months were excluded from the study. Each subject underwent neurocognitive tests that included a King-Devick Test (K-D) and simple reaction time test (SRT).

KING-DEVICK ASSESSMENT

The King-Devick assessment (K-D) is a timed rapid number naming assessment that assesses saccadic eye movements, attention, and processing speed. In a large sample of collegiate athletes across 16 sports, the K-D test was reliable from trial to trial (ICC=0.888) and when taking the test between years (ICC=0.827). Furthermore, the test can help in assessing ocular and cognitive effects of concussion. After being cleared for RTS by a licensed healthcare provider, each subject was scheduled for testing individually. The K-D test was given to each individual study participant to challenge the athlete's attention and eye movement in a rapid manner. Each participant was given standardized instructions and asked to read aloud a series of single-digit numbers from left to right on three test cards. The participant was then given one demonstration card for practice, followed by the three test cards that were used for recording scores. The three tests became progressively more challenging as testing continued. Targets on test card 1 are separated with guide lines. On test card 2, these guide lines are removed, and the numbers are spread out; and, on card 3, vertical crowding of number targets occurs. Before reading from left to right as quickly as possible, each participant was informed to read as fast as possible without making any mistakes. The participants were also informed that they could go back and correct any mistake. If this was done, the error was not recorded. The amount of time to read from the three test cards and the number of errors made while reading were recorded by a member of the study team. The test administrator had an answer sheet during the test to catch any errors. This test was repeated a second time and an average of the two trials was calculated.

REACTION TIME

Clinical Reaction Time (RT\textsubscript{clin}) was measured by having participants attempt to grasp a falling small apparatus as quickly as possible. The participant sat with his or her non-dominant forearm resting on a table and with the dominant hand held over the table's edge in an open position. An examiner held the apparatus (80-cm inflexible stick wrapped in friction tape attached to a rubber disc) directly above the participant's outstretched, dominant hand. The participant's dominant hand enclosed the rubber disc without touching it while the attached stick portion was held vertically above the rubber disc lying perpendicular to the plane of the outstretched hand. After waiting a preset delay time of two to five seconds, the examiner released the stick and the participant then tried to catch it as quickly as possible by closing his or her dominant hand around the rubber disc. After each trial, an RT\textsubscript{clin} value reported in milliseconds (ms) was calculated, using the formula for a falling body affected by gravity or $d=0.5gt^2$. The distance the device fell measured in centimeters was utilized in the formula for $d$ and used to calculate the reaction time or $t$ for each individual trial. A total of eight trials were collected and scores averaged.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Descriptive data including means, minima, maxima, and standard deviations were calculated for all variables. Normality of both variables were assessed utilizing a Shapiro-Wilk test. A two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to examine differences between the two groups if data did not demonstrate a normal distribution. Independent t-tests were utilized to examine group differences when the data were normally distributed. Significance was set a priori at $p<0.05$ for all statistical tests. All statistics and analyses were performed with Stata Statistics Data/Data Analysis software (version 14.2, StataCorp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics for the key outcome metrics are presented in Table 1. The results of the Shapiro-Wilk assessment of normality indicated that SRT was normally distributed; whereas the K-D scores were not normally distributed. The statistical significance of the group comparisons is also presented in Table 1. There was a significant difference ($p=0.024$) in SRT between groups with the concussed group (14.6 ± 4.2 cm) demonstrating a better SRT than the control group (18.7 ± 2.5 cm). No difference ($p=0.939$) was observed between groups for the K-D test (concussed = 46.7 ± 18.2 seconds; healthy = 43.8 ± 6.6 seconds).

DISCUSSION

This study provides new perspective into the relationship between concussion and LE MSK injury risk and the practical utility of these two simple oculomotor and reaction time assessments during RTS decision-making. Notably, average K-D time among the concussed population was a little
slower compared to the healthy group, but not statistically significant. This suggests possible lingering oculomotor and related neurocognitive deficits post-concussion, even after being cleared for RTS, which can arguably bias affected athletes to a potential greater LE injury risk. However, with no distinct difference between-group differences in K-D and SRT values (in fact, the concussed group had a better SRT compared to the healthy group), the hypothesis was not supported. Accordingly, any (if they existed) lingering oculomotor and related neurocognitive deficits and/or poorer reaction time following a SRC after being cleared for RTS were either resolved or were not detectable using these tests.

The lack of difference between the two groups in K-D scores may be attributable to the extensive time elapsed between concussion and clearance for RTS which was, on average, two months following the concussion. In a national database of high school athletes, the average time for concussion symptoms to resolve was seven days or less with, generally, an additional 8-11 days before RTS; whereas when the recovery period was 14 or 28 days, the affected athletes tended to RTS almost immediately after their symptoms were no longer present. Moreover, these specific measures in our study may not be sensitive and/or specific enough to reveal other potential persistent deficits in neurocognitive capacities that may place an individual at greater risk for MSK injury following RTS. Previous literature has supported recent concussion being related to risk of LE injury in an athletic population. However, the proposed contributing factors for greater LE injury risk included potential neuromuscular control deficits, postural control being altered, or general LE stiffness which would be beyond the expected capability of our SRT or K-D tests to reveal. Although, to date, the addressable clinical features aligned with these proposed LE injury risk contributing factors remain unclear.

Whereas clinicians typically utilize a broad array of neurocognitive test batteries to assess SRC patients, we chose to measure the expected lingering neurocognitive effects post-concussion with SRT and the K-D test, because of their ease of administration, quick results, and overall cost effectiveness. K-D has also been utilized to identify athletes without traditional symptoms of concussion. Poor oculomotor function caused by concussion is one of the strongest predictors of mild traumatic brain injury present within 65-90% of patients experiencing some form of mild traumatic brain injury. This prolonged RT is one of the most common signs post-concussion. Accordingly, RT is featured as a component in nearly all computerized neurocognitive test battery assessments for concussion, because of its high sensitivity for detecting concussion. Whereas RT is measured through a computerized applica-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Key Metrics and Group Comparisons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Simple Reaction Time (seconds)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concussed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.6 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.7 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION

This study provides new perspective on post-concussion MSK injury risk and the utility of the K-D and SRT assessments during RTS decision-making. The concussed group performed the K-D test a little slower, however, this difference was not statistically significant. At the same time, the concussed group had a significantly better SRT compared to the non-concussed group. Both results suggest that any neurocognitive and reaction time deficits potentially resolved, or detection was confounded by other influencing factors. These findings also spotlight the possibility that any lingering affected neurocognitive and/or reaction time deficits after being cleared for RTS are not detectable using these tests, even in the potential presence of neuromuscular control deficits, postural control being altered, and/or general LE stiffness contributing to greater risk of LE injury after a SRC.
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