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Background

Examining range of motion deficits across levels of baseball competition can result in a better understanding of the extent of altered range of motion patterns and identify competition levels that may require preventative interventions that target the deficits.

Purpose

The purpose of this study was to compare shoulder range of motion in baseball players across levels of competition and compare the prevalence of glenohumeral internal rotation deficit (GIRD) and total arc of motion differences (TAMD) between competition levels in pitchers and position players.

Study Design

Prospective descriptive cohort

Methods

Passive internal and external rotation range of motion was measured bilaterally. Individuals with current pain in the arm, shoulder, elbow or shoulder surgery within the prior two years were excluded. Measurements were taken during pre-season physical examinations. Players were divided into seven groups: 12u (11-12 years; n=30), 14u (13-14 years; n=30), High School 1 (HS 1; 15-16 year; n=42), High School 2 (HS 2; 17-18 years; n=25), College (n=22), Professional 1 (Pro1; 17-22 years; n=37) and Professional 2 (Pro2; 23 and older; n=37). Multiple one-way analyses of variance were performed to determine differences between groups. Tukey test for post-hoc analysis was employed to determine which competition levels were significantly different.

Results

Two-hundred and twenty-three male baseball players ages 11-26 participated. The 12u (53.7°) and 14u (54.2°) groups had significantly less internal rotation than HS1 (65.2°), HS2 (63.9°), College (62.3°), Pro1 (64.9°), and Pro2 (64.5°) players (p<0.0001). The 12u, 14u, HS1, college, and Pro2 groups had greater than 50% of players with total arc of motion differences >5°. Conclusions: Range of motion alterations exist across ages and levels of competition with 12u and 14u players having less internal rotation than the older groups and youth pitchers having less total range of motion than HS1.
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INTRODUCTION

The repetitive nature of throwing can result in altered glenohumeral range of motion patterns including increased external rotation (ER) and decreased internal rotation (IR) compared to the non-dominant arm.\(^1\) Glenohumeral internal rotation deficit (GIRD), defined as decreased IR greater than 20° in the throwing arm compared to the non-throwing arm, has been reported to be related to injury in professional baseball pitchers.\(^1\) Factors that have been suggested to contribute to GIRD are humeral retroversion,\(^1\) posterior capsule tightness,\(^1\) and muscular changes.\(^12\) GIRD has been associated with superior labral tears from anterior to posterior, as well as articular sided rotator cuff tears, biceps, and capsular injuries in players of all ability levels, from little league to professional players.\(^6,21–23\)

In addition to assessing for the presence of GIRD, total arc of motion is also a critical measure that should be considered by clinicians working with baseball players. Total arc of motion is obtained by adding the measures of ER and IR on one shoulder together rather than purely evaluating side-to-side IR loss. Shoulder range of motion may contribute to injury risk in baseball players, however conflicting evidence exists in the literature. Side-to-side total arc of motion differences (TAMD) > 5° is associated with increased injury risk in professional baseball pitchers.\(^18\) Decreased IR and total arc of motion has been demonstrated to contribute to upper extremity injury in youth, high school, and professional baseball players.\(^6,21–23\) However, a recent study of 832 high school baseball pitchers and position players indicated that range of motion deficits did not contribute to injury risk.\(^24\) The conflicting evidence indicates a need for further research on range of motion patterns between competition levels.

Improved understanding of range of motion alterations across ages and levels of competition may allow the identification of risk factors and development of interventions to decrease the incidence of upper extremity injuries in baseball players. No study to date has evaluated range of motion in cohorts of youth, high school, collegiate, and professional levels of competition as part of the same study. The current study is unique because youth, high school, and professional players are examined based on age and not solely on competition level. Previous researchers have examined a wide range of ages together without taking into consideration potential differences that may be present from a developmental standpoint. Baseball participation may vary between younger and older players within each level of competition. The purpose of this study was to compare shoulder range of motion in baseball players across levels of competition and compare the prevalence of GIRD and TAMD between competition levels in pitchers and position players. It was hypothesized that shoulder range of motion would be different between competition levels. Additionally, collegiate and professional pitchers would have a significantly increased prevalence of GIRD and TAMD compared to youth and pitchers would have a greater prevalence of GIRD and TAMD than position players due to making a greater number of maximal effort throws over time.

METHODS

Baseball players were recruited from local youth leagues, high schools, and colleges. In addition, professional (Minor League) baseball players from a single organization volunteered. Both pitchers and position players were recruited by word of mouth. Exclusion criteria included current pain in the arm, shoulder, elbow or shoulder surgery within the last two years. Exclusion criteria were excluded if they had a history of injury within the prior six months that did not require surgery, but did result in an absence from play. The Baptist Hospital-Pensacola institutional review board approved this study. Prior to data collection, all testing procedures were explained to each participant and informed consent was obtained. For participants who were less than 18 years old, parental consent and participant assent were obtained. A power analysis for a one-way ANOVA with seven groups was conducted in G*Power (Version 3.1.7; Dusseldorf, Germany) to determine a sufficient sample size using an alpha of 0.05, a power of 0.80, and a large effect size (f = 0.40).\(^25\) The estimated total sample size was 98.

Players completed a questionnaire that included questions regarding height, weight, hand dominance, level of participation, main position, years pitched, percentage of teams’ games pitched, and history of elbow or shoulder surgery in the last two years. Passive glenohumeral IR and ER range of motion was measured. Glenohumeral range of motion was assessed with the participants supine on an examination table with the arm positioned at 90° of shoulder abduction and the elbow flexed to 90°. A bolster was placed under the distal humerus to maintain arm position in the plane of the scapula. The position of the scapula was controlled by palpating the coracoid process and monitoring for movement to determine the end range of glenohumeral motion.\(^7,22,25,26–28\) Measurements were recorded with a Baseline® digital inclinometer (Fabrication Enterprises, Inc., White Plains, NY) placed along the ulnar shaft with the hand in a pronated position for IR and the digital inclinometer along the ulnar border with the hand in the neutral position for ER.\(^7,22,25,27\) For all measurements, the inclinometer was placed proximal to the ulnar styloid. Once the end range of motion was reached, the value was recorded. Measurements were taken prior to the season, during preparticipation physical examinations, and participants were instructed to not throw or pitch 48 hours prior to testing. Participants did not perform any upper extremity stretching or warm-up exercises prior to testing. Youth, high school, and college participants had their measurement assessed in late July and professional pitchers had their measurements taken in March prior to spring training. Range of motion was assessed bilaterally and two measurements were recorded for each rotational motion.\(^29\) The average was then calculated and used for data analysis. A sin-
Table 1. Participant Demographics. Mean (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Group Characteristics</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Mass (kg)</th>
<th>% Pitchers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12u (n=30)</td>
<td>11-12 years old</td>
<td>12.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>153.7 (9.6)</td>
<td>49.2 (11.3)</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14u (n=30)</td>
<td>13-14 years old</td>
<td>13.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>170.8 (8.9)</td>
<td>58.5 (12.2)</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS1 (n=42)</td>
<td>15-16 years old</td>
<td>15.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>176.2 (8.4)</td>
<td>69.5 (11.9)</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS2 (n=25)</td>
<td>17-18 years old</td>
<td>17.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>183.2 (5.6)</td>
<td>79.6 (9.1)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College (n=22)</td>
<td>College players</td>
<td>19.1 (0.9)</td>
<td>177.5 (26.1)</td>
<td>81.0 (18.2)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro 1 (n=37)</td>
<td>17-22 years old professional players</td>
<td>20.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>185.3 (5.4)</td>
<td>91.0 (8.7)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro 2 (n=37)</td>
<td>23 years and older professional players</td>
<td>24.9 (2.5)</td>
<td>186.2 (6.0)</td>
<td>94.6 (8.3)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Participant demographics and characteristics across the seven groups (12u, 14u, HS1, HS2, College, Pro1, and Pro2) are presented in Table 1. Significant differences were observed in height and mass across the seven groups. Data describing dominant arm range of motion in baseball players are presented in Figure 1. Significant differences were observed in IR (p < 0.0001), ER (p = 0.038), and total arc of motion (p < 0.0001) measures between groups of baseball players. 12u and 14u players had significantly lower IR compared to the high school, collegiate and professional players. 12u and 14u players had the highest prevalence of GIRD >20° (27.8%). More than 70% of 12u and Pro2 pitchers had TAMD >5°. Across all competition levels, 14.4% of position players had GIRD and 50% had TAMD >5°. For pitchers across all competition levels, 21% had GIRD and 58.1% had TAMD >5°. There was no
Table 2. Side-to-Side Difference in Range of Motion (°) between Groups. Mean (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Glenohumeral Internal Rotation Difference</th>
<th>External Rotation Difference</th>
<th>Total Arc of Motion Difference</th>
<th>GIRD (%)</th>
<th>Total Arc of Motion Difference &gt; 5° (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12u</td>
<td>-13.1 (9.8)</td>
<td>6.7 (8.3)</td>
<td>-6.4 (11.6)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14u</td>
<td>-15.7 (10.2)</td>
<td>10.2 (9.7)</td>
<td>-5.5 (11.5)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS1</td>
<td>-9.3 (7.8)</td>
<td>7.3 (7.1)</td>
<td>-2.0 (8.1)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS2</td>
<td>-11.4 (7.5)</td>
<td>6.2 (6.1)</td>
<td>-5.2 (6.9)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>-12.0 (8.6)</td>
<td>7.3 (7.4)</td>
<td>-4.7 (9.3)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro 1</td>
<td>-10.0 (7.4)</td>
<td>6.3 (7.2)</td>
<td>-3.7 (8.7)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro 2</td>
<td>-12.2 (9.5)</td>
<td>8.4 (10.2)</td>
<td>-3.8 (9.0)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Side-to-Side Differences in Range of Motion (°) in Pitchers. Mean (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Glenohumeral Internal Rotation Difference</th>
<th>External Rotation Difference</th>
<th>Total Arc of Motion Difference</th>
<th>GIRD (%)</th>
<th>Total Arc of Motion Difference &gt; 5° (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12u (n=20)</td>
<td>-14.2 (11.2)</td>
<td>7.7 (9.5)</td>
<td>-6.6 (12.6)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14u (n=18)</td>
<td>-13.6 (10.1)</td>
<td>10.8 (9.1)</td>
<td>-2.8 (9.93)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS1 (n=22)</td>
<td>-9.3 (7.7)</td>
<td>8.2 (8.2)</td>
<td>-1.1 (8.2)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS2 (n=14)</td>
<td>-11.9 (6.4)</td>
<td>7.3 (6.3)</td>
<td>-4.6 (6.7)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col (n=9)</td>
<td>-15.7 (6.5)</td>
<td>12.7 (4.2)</td>
<td>-3.0 (7.3)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro 1 (n=12)</td>
<td>-11.9 (7.2)</td>
<td>5.8 (7.4)</td>
<td>-6.2 (8.6)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro 2 (n=11)</td>
<td>-17.9 (9.6)</td>
<td>12.8 (9.8)</td>
<td>-5.1 (7.9)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

difference in the prevalence of GIRD between pitchers and position players ($\chi^2=1.7; p=0.199$). There was also no difference in the prevalence of TAMD >5° between pitchers and position players ($\chi^2=1.5; p=0.226$).

DISCUSSION

The most important finding of this study is that range of motion had little variation among the competition levels of baseball players and in the pitcher subgroup. The hypothesis that collegiate and professional players and pitchers would have a higher prevalence of GIRD and TAMD compared to youth was not supported however a few significant differences were observed between groups. Youth players (12u and 14u) had significantly less IR and total arc of motion than players in higher competition levels. IR and total arc of motion were also significantly less in youth (12u and 14u) pitchers compared to high school (HS1), college, and professional (Pro1) pitchers. The secondary hypothesis was also not supported because the prevalence of GIRD and TAMD >5° was similar between pitchers and position players.

Figure 2. Internal rotation (A), external rotation (B), and total arc of motion (C) of the dominant arm in pitchers. *Significantly greater than 12u. ^Significantly greater than 14u.

Decreased IR and ER range of motion with age in little league and adolescent baseball players ages 8-16 has been reported. In 10-12 year old pitchers, GIRD was present in 10 of the 25 players and there was no significant difference in ER compared to the non-dominant arm suggesting the loss of IR can occur prior to gains in ER. In contrast, the current results show greater IR from high school age and throughout the higher levels of competition, compared to...
12u and 14u. Repetitive eccentric contractions of the posterior musculature during throwing can lead to tightness and posteroinferior capsular contracture may contributed to loss of IR.\(^1\) It is possible that the difference in IR was due to the relatively small sample size of participants in each age group. The increased IR in higher competition levels may also be related to an increased awareness of the importance of maintaining range of motion. Furthermore, from high school through the professional competition levels, players have access to medical and strength and conditioning personnel that can educate and stretching programs for players. Youth baseball players often do not have access to these resources.

Maintaining total arc of motion in the presence of GIRD may be more important than solely focusing on GIRD because gains in ER may compensate for loss of IR.\(^3\) Increased ER in the throwing shoulder compared to the non-throwing shoulder is an adaptation that has been reported in baseball players.\(^19\) Humeral retro torsion is thought to be a contributing factor to increased ER and is an adaptation that may be due to participation in overhead sports before skeletal maturity occurs.\(^31\)–\(^3 \) Increased retro torsion of the humerus along with increased external rotation in the dominant arms of the pitchers has previously been reported.\(^19\) ER range of motion was significantly greater in the 12u group compared to the college group, which was unexpected due to the physical changes that occur through maturation. It is assumed that the 12u group of players had not reached skeletal maturity and likely had not gain the benefit of the osseous adaptations that occur with throwing. TAMD >5° has been associated with increased injury risk in professional baseball pitchers\(^18\) but it is unclear if this cut-off value differentiates risk of injury in players in lower competition levels. The 12u, 14u, HS1, college, and Pro2 groups had greater than 50% of players with TAMD >5°. The 12u group had the highest percentage of players with TAMD (73%). Despite TAMD >5° frequently referenced in the literature this value may be within measurement error and have limited clinical significance.

Limitations of the study include that this was a cross-sectional study conducted at a single point in time comparing different competition levels of baseball players. Tanner staging was not performed to determine physical maturity of the players thus there could have been some overlap between players in the younger groups that may have affected the results. Another limitation to this study is the small sample of pitchers that were compared across competition levels. Youth baseball players frequently play multiple positions until higher levels of competition are reached and they transition to a single position. Future research should allow for clinicians to gain a better understanding of the physiological adaptations that contributed to altered range of motion patterns in baseball players.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicate that range of motion was similar across competition levels of baseball players and the pitcher subgroup. More than 70% of the 12u and Pro2 groups had TAMD >5°. These results indicate that clinicians should monitor range of motion in all age groups of baseball players and pitchers.
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