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Background and Purpose

Neck pain in the United States is pervasive and contributes to disability. While the majority of neck pain in young and healthy individuals is neuromusculoskeletal in nature, screening for red flags is necessary for ruling-out serious medical pathologies. The purpose of this case report is to describe a young and healthy male subject with a primary complaint of acute neck pain with multiple underlying upper extremity superficial vein thromboses (UESVTs).

Case Description

The subject was a 27-year-old male active-duty Soldier referred to physical therapy by his primary care provider (PCP) for acute left-sided neck pain. Prior to physical therapy, the subject had been treated with cyclobenzaprine, oxycodone-acetaminophen, trigger point injection and had undergone a D-dimer to rule out a potential thrombus due to air travel and lower extremity immobilization.

Outcomes

The subject underwent a D-dimer, Doppler ultrasound, pharmacological treatment of Rivaroxaban, and was referred to hematology/oncology to rule out systemic causes of SVTs. Evidence of subtle increases in blood pressure over the course of three months, a positive D-dimer, and symptoms incongruent with clinical presentation contributed to referral to a hematology/oncology specialist and a diagnosis of multiple UESVTs. The subject was able to return to his previous level of activity by six months and remained free of SVTs at two-year follow-up.

Discussion

UESVT events are rare and can be challenging to identify. This case report describes a unique presentation of acute neck pain caused by underlying UESVTs in an otherwise healthy and active young male.

Level of Evidence

Level 4

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

Young and healthy subjects presenting with neck pain in the absence of a clear mechanism of injury or apparent contributing factors can present unique challenges. Determining if the subject is appropriate for physical therapy care can be especially challenging when the subject is referred to physical therapy from a physician assistant following a visit to the emergency department. While neck pain is a common occurrence, affecting 15.1% of the U.S. population during any given three-month period,1,2 the potential for serious underlying conditions requiring referral exist. Screening for red flags in subjects with neck pain is critical to the clinical decision-making process and determination of appropriateness for physical therapy services.
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The development of UESVTs are largely restricted to hospitalized populations; use of endovascular devices (e.g., PICC lines and peripheral venous lines) and in subjects with malignant cancers, infection or inflammatory processes, during pregnancy/post-partum or with haptogastrointestinal pathologies.\textsuperscript{3,4} Much less commonly (incidence 1/100,000), UESVTs have been reported in male subjects under the age of 50 due to Paget-Schroetter Syndrome (effort thrombosis) or Thoracic Outlet Syndrome (TOS).\textsuperscript{4,5} In these rare cases, the presence of repetitive upper extremity movements cause microtrauma to the endothelium creating thromboses. Clinical signs of UESVTs occur in roughly a third of those diagnosed, with the most common signs including erythema, indurated cord, edema, and pain.\textsuperscript{5,6}

The purpose of this case report is to describe an example of a young and healthy subject presenting with a primary complaint of neck pain with underlying UESVTs.

**CASE DESCRIPTION**

The subject was a 27-year-old, right-hand dominant, male, active-duty U.S. Army Soldier with a chief complaint of acute 12-day history of left-sided neck pain ([Figure 1](#fig1)). The subject’s neck pain was preceded by a relatively short, two-hour airplane flight, in which he reported falling asleep in an "awkward position" for approximately 20 minutes. Following the flight, the subject initially reported experiencing neck stiffness which progressed to mild pain by the next morning, and to moderate pain by day 8, prompting the subject to schedule an initial encounter for neck pain with his PCP. The subject denied significant past medical history or recent events of trauma to his neck but did report having awoken with similar neck pain about three months prior which resolved on it’s on within the same week. The subject was in excellent overall health with the exception of a healing Lisfranc injury to his left lower extremity which he incurred two months prior and had used bilateral axillary crutches for approximately six weeks after the lower extremity injury. The timeline of encounters is represented in [Figure 1](#fig1).

Treatment for this episode of neck pain included the subject visiting a civilian chiropractor twice and a massage therapist once before seeing his PCP for neck pain. The subject reported minimal temporary relief of his symptoms and growing difficulty in being able to maintain any prolonged positions with his visits to the chiropractor and massage therapist. Nine days following onset of pain, and the subject’s first visit with his PCP, he was treated with trigger point injections (Marcaine/Lidocaine) in the upper trapezius muscle with temporary relief in symptoms. His PCP also prescribed Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen (5mg/325mg), Ibuprofen (800mg), and Clobenzaprine (10 mg).

On day 11 following initial onset of symptoms, the subject was unable to sleep or find any relief with medication or changing positions and visited a civilian emergency department. The emergency room physician ordered cervical spine radiographs which were unremarkable and diagnosed him with a neck strain. He was prescribed Percocet for pain relief and instructed to follow-up with his PCP. The next day he followed-up with his PCP (PCP visit number 2, 12 days since the onset of his pain) during which a D-dimer test was ordered and he was referred to physical therapy. The subject’s PCP requested he be seen as soon as possible for acute neck pain. The subject’s most immediate goal was to manage pain so that he could get some sleep.

**INITIAL PHYSICAL THERAPY EVALUATION**

The subject described his neck symptoms as constant, variable, sharp, deep, and primarily located over his mid- to lower-left cervical paraspinus musculature with some discomfort noted in the left upper trapezius and scapular region. He denied radiating pain into either upper extremity, numbness or tingling, double vision, blurry vision, difficulty swallowing, dizziness, upper extremity weakness, or unexpectedly dropping objects. The subject had no observable dysarthria, nystagmus or ataxia of gait. He rated his pain with medication (Percocet) at a 2/10 on the Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS)\textsuperscript{7} during the evaluation, with complaints of neck stiffness, particularly during cervical extension. He reported that his pain increased to 10/10 on the NPRS with active movement, especially looking up, in addition to significant difficulty laying down in any position to sleep.

Observation of the subject revealed no erythema, edema, or deformity of the neck or upper extremities. The subject’s active range of motion was restricted in cervical extension, and he was unable to position himself in neutral due to pain. Cervical flexion was limited to 10 degrees before pain onset with complaints of “significant muscle stretch.” Left side-bending was limited to 30 degrees and right-side bending was 45 degrees. Cervical rotation was within normal limits and not overly provocative of symptoms in either direction. Upper extremity shoulder motion was within normal limits, with discomfort at end-range left shoulder flexion into this left upper trapezius region. Passive range of motion was slightly better with cervical extension to 5 degrees, cervical flexion to 45 degrees with muscle stretch end-feel, and cervical rotation within normal limits with no provocation of symptoms.

Palpation revealed no apparent deformities or tissue texture abnormalities. Manual muscle testing was deferred at the initial visit due to heightened pain with motion testing. He had significant tenderness to palpation of the left paraspinous muscles, greatest adjacent to C5-6 levels with palpable taut muscle bands of the left paraspinals, mid-portions of the left sided upper trapezius and mild tenderness at the insertion of left levator scapulae muscle. Palpation of the left first rib posteriorly elicited reproduction of the subject’s pain. Passive accessory intervertebral movement assessment with anterior-to-posterior testing and up-gilding elicited the most discomfort and guarding at C5-6. The subject had difficulty positioning into cervical quadrant assessments, which were negative for radiating symptoms. The supine cervical distraction test was also negative for reduction in symptoms. Light touch sensation and deep tendon reflexes were assessed and found to be intact and symmetrical.

The initial exam findings were relatively benign. Following a thorough review of the subject’s past military medical history, the absence of significant injury or systemic disease, and an unremarkable family history, the physical ther-
Figure 1: Timeline of Medical and PT encounters


The subject verbally consented to manual physical therapy including Grade V thrust manipulation in the supine position in an anterior-to-posterior direction of the left first rib with cavitation, supine cervicothoracic junction manipulation without cavitation, prone cervicothoracic junction manipulation with cavitation, supine up-glide cervical manipulation at C5-6 without cavitation. Post-treatment cervical extension improved by 10 degrees. Due to the subject’s favorable response to trigger point injection, dry needling treatment was considered an appropriate adjunct therapy. The subject provided written consent to dry needling of the left and right paraspinal muscles at levels C5-7 which provided no additional functional benefit. The subject was instructed to perform active range of motion exercises and advised to use heat or ice as needed based on preference to assist with pain.

The subject returned to physical therapy for follow-up two days later (after the weekend) in visible distress and discomfort. He reported that his symptoms were slightly improved the evening after the initial physical therapy treatment and that the improvement was sustained through to the next morning, but that his pain worsened the following day. He reported feeling nauseous and described a “clawing and gripping pain” deep into his left upper trapezius and neck area, but denied numbness, tingling, or radiating symptoms into the upper extremities. With the exception of increased pain, the subject denied any changes, any potentially precipitating events, or activities that could explain his severe increase in pain. Due to the significant increase in the subject’s pain, the physical therapist once more reviewed the subject’s medical records, to include recent vital signs. Upon further review, it was noted that there was a subtle upward trend in the past three months of blood pressure measurements following a Lisfranc injury two months prior and continued increases during the encounters for neck pain. Additionally, there was an elevated D-dimer test result that had not yet been reviewed by the PCP. The subject’s D-dimer test was valued at 261, with the normal range being reported 0-230. Considering the subject’s intractable pain with pharmacological treatment, physical therapy intervention and especially in light of the high blood pressure readings and high D-dimer blood test, the physical therapist became more concerned that the subject’s pain was not musculoskeletal in nature. The physical therapist initiated an immediate referral back to the subject’s PCP with the suggestion of a Doppler ultrasound evaluation.

Figure 2: Patient Blood Pressure Timeline

*PCP: Primary Care Provider; PT: Physical Therapist; Hem/Onc: Hematology Oncology

in the subject’s pain, the physical therapist once more reviewed the subject’s medical records, to include recent vital signs. Upon further review, it was noted that there was a subtle upward trend in the past three months of blood pressure measurements (Figure 2) following a Lisfranc injury two months prior and continued increases during the encounters for neck pain. Additionally, there was an elevated D-dimer test result that had not yet been reviewed by the PCP. The subject’s D-dimer test was valued at 261, with the normal range being reported 0-230. Considering the subject’s intractable pain with pharmacological treatment, physical therapy intervention and especially in light of the high blood pressure readings and high D-dimer blood test, the physical therapist became more concerned that the subject’s pain was not musculoskeletal in nature. The physical therapist initiated an immediate referral back to the subject’s PCP with the suggestion of a Doppler ultrasound evaluation.
OUTCOME

The subject’s PCP ordered an UE duplex ultrasound Doppler which revealed that he had several thromboses of the superficial vein network of the left upper extremity, specifically the cephalic vein and mid- and distal portions of the basilic veins. His PCP immediately referred him to the emergency department where he was screened for pulmonary embolism with a chest computed tomography (CT) which was found to be negative. He was also treated with an anticoagulant, Rivaroxaban (Xarelto). The subject was prescribed a therapeutic dose of Xarelto (15mg) to be taken orally twice daily for 21 days. After completing the first three weeks of anticoagulant medication, the subject’s dose was decreased to 20mg once daily and ordered to be taken for six additional weeks. He discontinued use of Xarelto after this time. The emergency department referred the subject to specialty care in hematology/oncology to rule out more potential underlying causes of the subject’s UESVTs. The hematology/oncology specialist reported no remarkable findings, also coming to the conclusion that repetitive upper extremity use while on crutches contributed to the subject’s UESVTs development. At both six-month and two-year follow-up, the subject had no recurring symptoms nor symptomatic SVTs since that time.

DISCUSSION

Despite the unlikely occurrence of UESVTs in young and healthy individuals, there are conditions which may create opportunities for thromboses to form in the upper extremity. Specifically, repetitive retroversion, hyperabduction and extension of the arm have been proposed to be a mechanism by which undue stress is caused to the endothelium of the subclavian vein resulting in a coagulation cascade. Although there is no literature specific to SVTs, long-haul flights (>6hr) have also been associated with a 2.3-2.8-fold increase in risk for developing venous thrombosis-related complications when compared to shorter flights. Although it was noted in the subject’s history that he had taken a short flight prior to the onset of his symptoms, there is minimal evidence that short duration flights result in DVT, SVT, or related thrombosis-related complications. The incidence of neck pain caused by a superficial or deep vein thrombosis (DVT) in the upper extremity is less frequent than reported cases of leg pain from a DVT. In light of the subject’s history of Lisfranc injury followed by using bilateral crutches for a prolonged period, it is plausible that this subject developed UESVTs, also described as effort thromboses, as a result of his prolonged crutch use. The subject was also questioned further regarding his crutch use and stated that he would often use a single crutch on his injured left-side, further contributing to repetitive stress.

While the subject in this case report did not present with any red flags at the initial evaluation, his response to treatment was concerning at follow-up. Common risk factors, clinical signs, and symptoms for SVT are not well defined, however the known risks, signs, and symptoms for DVT are presented in Table 1. While clinical signs and symptoms related specifically to UESVTs are less commonly reported in the literature, a common clinical sign of UEDVT, including PE, is increased heart rate, while edema of the arm or hand may occur, it is less common. A review of the subject’s electronic medical record (EMR) demonstrated no significant increases in heart rate or respiratory rate. The subject’s EMR did show gradual, but notable increases in blood pressure beginning shortly after the onset of his LE injury several months prior, peaking at his second physical therapy appointment. Blood pressure has not been identified as a predictive clinical sign of UEDVT or UESVT and may have been reflective of the body’s natural response to pain, nonetheless, observation of prolonged, elevated, and rising blood pressure prompted the physical therapist to consult with the subject’s PCP. Other findings associated with an increased risk of thrombosis include having blood type AB or factor V gene mutation. The subject described in this case report had type O positive blood, and his factor V gene mutation was not reported. Physical therapists suspecting a thrombosis in their subject should include blood type and factor V gene mutation results in their consideration of risk for thrombosis. Individuals with blood clotting disorders such as antithrombin III, protein S, or protein C deficiencies may also have an increased risk for developing thrombosis. Finally, medications may contribute to increased risk of thrombosis, such as oral contraceptives, antipsychotics, and alcohol. The subject described in this case report did not have a history of medication or substance misuse which might contribute to an increased risk or susceptibility to developing an UESVT. Objective findings of elevated blood pressure and a borderline high D-Dimer triggered an immediate referral to a physician for additional testing. A physical therapist acting in a direct access role has sufficient training to screen and identify more sinister diagnoses, like the one presenting in this case.

An SVT is not inherently life-threatening on its own, but could potentially lead to a more concerning DVT or pulmonary embolism (PE). Subjects who are typically diagnosed with UESVTs are older and have multiple comorbidities, so it was out of the ordinary to find one in this younger, healthy individual. The military setting is unique in that healthcare providers have immediate access to all health and medical records for a subject. In civilian outpatient populations, this subject might have not been referred as quickly to the appropriate provider without history of vital records. Physical therapists operating in environments without access to vital records should consider obtaining vital signs, including blood pressure measurement, on all of their subjects.

UESVTs are more commonly seen in older subjects (>65 years), with multiple comorbidities demonstrating clinical signs of increased heart rate, indurated cord, upper extremity discoloration, and/or arm and hand edema. Notably, these clinical signs are only seen in smaller percentages of the population and are inconsistent across individuals. Other findings which might alert a physical therapist to possible UESVTs include the subject having an AB blood type, having factor V gene mutations, or being on thrombosis-inducing medication such as oral contraceptives. Finally, in populations where UESVT might be less commonly
Table 1: Predictors of SVT, DVT, & PE Compared to Case Report Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Characteristics of Patients with SVT, DVT, &amp; PE*</th>
<th>Patient characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk Factors (%)</td>
<td>62 years (range: 48-73)1</td>
<td>27 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (66)</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Confinement to bed (5)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recent travel (7)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Congestive heart failure or respiratory insufficiency (5)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute infectious disease (4)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnancy or post-partum period &lt;6 weeks (5)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Personal history of VTE (43)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Family history of VTE (26)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cancer (8)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active cancer (4)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previous cancer (4)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SVT in a non-varicose vein (22)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic reduced mobility (4)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biological thrombophilia (5)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hormonal contraception (5)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hormone replacement therapy (2)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obesity (BMI &gt;30 kg/m²)</td>
<td>No (BMI = 23.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Vague shoulder or neck discomfort³</td>
<td>Severe neck and upper shoulder pain**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arm or hand edema³</td>
<td>Not present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs</td>
<td>Heart rate 75-94 beats/min²</td>
<td>53-71 beats/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supraclavicular fullness²</td>
<td>Not present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Palpable cord²</td>
<td>Not present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extremity cyanosis²</td>
<td>Not present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dilated cutaneous veins²</td>
<td>Not present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jugular venous distention²</td>
<td>Not present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unable to access central venous catheter²</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood type</td>
<td>AB⁴</td>
<td>O+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab values</td>
<td>Factor V Gene Mutation</td>
<td>Reported in up to 11% of patients diagnosed UEDVTs³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antithrombin III deficiency</td>
<td>Reported in 2-4% of patients diagnosed with UEDVTs³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein S deficiency</td>
<td>Present in ~4% of patients diagnosis with UEDVTs³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein C deficiency</td>
<td>Present in 4-7% of patients diagnosed with UEDVTs³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From (Bauersachs, 2013¹; Joffe Hylton V. & Goldhaber Samuel Z., 2002³; Le Gal et al., 2006²; Spiezia et al., 2018⁴)  
¹Literature is limited on signs and symptoms related to SVT; signs & symptoms of DVT, PE included here.  
²Indicates potential marker for SVT, DVT, or PE evident here.  
BMI: body mass index; SVT: superficial vein thrombosis; VTE: venous thromboembolism  

suspected, physical therapists might consider Paget-Schroetter Syndrome (effort thrombosis) or Thoracic Outlet Syndrome (TOS).¹⁴ In these rare cases, the presence of repetitive upper extremity movements cause microtrauma to the endothelium creating thromboses described in this subject due to overuse of an axillary crutch on the affected side. While the incidence of UESVTs is quite low, a thorough subjective and objective physical therapy examination, with reflective consideration of subject response to treatment, are likely to lead to appropriate referral.
CONCLUSION

Systems review with vital signs assessment (i.e., heart rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate, and temperature) provide valuable objective information related to patient status, potentially revealing undiagnosed medical conditions, and giving a snapshot of a patient’s general health and well-being. Physical therapists should collect vitals for each patient at their initial evaluation regardless of past medical history; subsequent readings can be used as a comparison and possible indication for physician referral. The subject described in this case report was younger and otherwise healthier than the typical patient who might present with an UESVT. Aside from consulting the ultrasound Doppler and CT findings, the only indication of thrombosis was a steady rise in blood pressure across several healthcare visits. Performing a thorough systems review with vital signs assessment adds minimal time and should be considered standard practice for patient encounters.
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